

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/728,635	12/05/2003	Rashid A. Attar	010032C1	010032C1 9090	
23696	7590 08/16/2006		EXAMINER		
QUALCOMM INCORPORATED			LY, NGHI H		
5775 MOREHOUSE DR. SAN DIEGO, CA 92121			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ			2617		
			DATE MAILED: 08/16/2006	DATE MAILED: 08/16/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		r			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/728,635	ATTAR ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Nghi H. Ly	2617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ju	ıne 2006.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Page 2

The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 2617.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-4 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-8, 11-23 and 26-31 of copending Application No. US 09/915,736. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because:

Regarding claim 1, Attar teaches an apparatus for directing communication between a remote station and a plurality of sectors in a data communication system (see claims 1, 11, 16 and 31), the remote station including a list of eligible sectors (see claims 1, 11, 16 and 31), the apparatus comprising: means for determining at the remote station a quality metric of a forward link for each sector in the remote station's list (see claims 1, 11, 16 and 31), means for determining a quality metric of a reverse link to each sector in the remote station's list, said means for determining the quality metric of the reverse link to each sector in the remote station's list comprising means for processing at the remote station the forward link from each sector in the remote station's list (see claims 1, 11, 16 and 31), said means for processing at the remote station the forward link from each sector in the remote station's list comprising: means for ascertaining a first signal value at a position in a first channel of the forward link for at least one sector in the remote station's list (see claims 1, 11, 16 and 31), means for determining the quality metric in accordance with said ascertained first signal value for the at least one sector in the remote station's list (see claims 1, 11, 16 and 31), means for ascertaining a second signal value at a position in a second channel of the forward link for remaining sectors in the remote station's list (see claims 1, 11, 16 and 31), and means for determining a second quality metric in accordance with said ascertained

Art Unit: 2617

second signal value for the remaining sectors in the remote station's list (see claims 1, 11, 16 and 31), and means for directing communication between the remote station and one sector from the sectors in the remote station's list in accordance with said determined quality metric of a forward link and said determined quality metric of a reverse link (see claims 1, 11, 16 and 31), said means for directing communication between the remote station and one sector from the sectors in the remote station's list comprising: means for assigning credits to each sector in the remote station's list except the sector currently serving the remote station in accordance with said determined quality metric of a forward link (see claims 1, 11, 16 and 31), said determined quality metric of the reverse link, and said determined second quality metric of the reverse link (see claims 1, 11, 16 and 311), means for directing communication between the remote station and one sector from the sectors in the remote station's list in accordance with said assigned credits (see claims 1, 11, 16 and 31), means for decreasing credits of a sector if said determined second quality metric of the reverse link for the sector and said determined second quality metric of the reverse link for a sector currently serving the remote station are greater than a second threshold (see claims 1, 11, 16 and 31), and means for decreasing a first type of credits of a sector if said determined quality metric of the reverse link for the sector is insufficient (see claims 1, 11, 16 and 31. In addition, see claims 2-8, 12-15, 17-23 and 26-30), or if said quality metric of a forward link of the sector is less than the quality metric of the forward link of the sector currently serving the remote station (see claims 1, 11, 16 and 31. In addition, see claims 2-8, 12-15, 17-23 and 26-30), and said first quality metric of the reverse link for a sector was not

Art Unit: 2617

determined (see claims 1, 11, 16 and 31. In addition, see claims 2-8, 12-15, 17-23 and 26-30).

Regarding claim 2, Attar teaches decreasing a first type of credits comprises means for decreasing switching credits of the sector (see claims 1, 11, 16 and 31).

Regarding claim 3, Attar teaches increasing the first type of credits of a sector if: the sector's quality metric of a forward link is greater than the quality metric of the forward link of the sector currently serving the remote station (see claims 1, 11, 16 and 31), and the sector's determined second quality metric of the reverse link is less than the second threshold (see claims 1, 11, 16 and 31), or if: the sector's quality metric of a forward link is greater than the quality metric of the forward link of the sector currently serving the remote station (see claims 1, 11, 16 and 31), and the sector's determined quality metric of the reverse link is sufficient, and means for increasing a second type of credits of a sector if: the sector's quality metric of a forward link is greater than the quality metric of the forward link of the sector currently serving the remote station (see claims 1, 11, 16 and 31), said determined second quality metric of the reverse link of the sector's quality metric of a reverse link is greater than the second threshold (see claims 1, 11, 16 and 31. In addition, see claims 2-8, 12-15, 17-23 and 26-30), and said determined second quality metric of the reverse link of the sector currently serving the remote station is less than the second threshold (see claims 1, 11, 16 and 31. In addition, see claims 2-8, 12-15, 17-23 and 26-30).

Regarding claim 4, Attar teaches increasing a second type of credits comprises

Art Unit: 2617

means for increasing monitoring credits of the sector (see claims 1, 11, 16 and 31. In addition, see claims 2-8, 12-15, 17-23 and 26-30).

This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nghi H. Ly whose telephone number is (571) 272-7911. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am-5:30 pm Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick Corsaro can be reached on (571) 272-7876. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nghi H. Ly

CHARLES APPIAH PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 6